

1 Second Order Linear ODE: $y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = r(x)$

Solution $y = Au(x) + Bv(x) + z(x)$.

Where $u(x), v(x)$ are Linearly Independent

(i.e. $\forall A, B (\forall x (Au(x) + Bv(x) = 0)) \Rightarrow A = B = 0$) solutions to the Homogeneous Equation $y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0$. Moreover the solution space to the Homogeneous Equation forms a 2 Dimensional Vector Space Over \mathbb{R} and u, v are Basis Vectors which are not unique.

Any solution to the Homogeneous Equation can be written as a Linear Combination of the Basis Vector: i.e. Complimentary Solution $y_c = Au(x) + Bv(x)$.

The Particular Solution $y_p = z(x)$ is the constant-less solution to the Non Homogeneous Equation: $y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = r(x)$.

The constants A, B can be uniquely determined by the Initial Conditions: $y(b), y'(b)$.

1.1 Wronskian Method

$$\text{Wronskian } W(x) = \begin{vmatrix} u(x) & v(x) \\ u'(x) & v'(x) \end{vmatrix} = u(x)v'(x) - v(x)u'(x)$$

u, v linearly independent is equivalent to $\forall x (W(x) \neq 0)$.

Wronskian satisfies the 1st order homogeneous equation: $W'(x) + p(x)W(x) = 0$. So we can find $W(x)$ and if we know one solution $u(x)$ to the homogeneous equation we can find the other solution $v(x)$. This result is equivalent to the Reduction of Order method where we assume that $v(x) = A(x)u(x)$ and finding a 1st order ode satisfied by $A(x)$.

Also we can show that the particular solution can be written as $z(x) = A(x)u(x) + B(x)v(x)$. This method is called the Variation of Parameters and we can show that $A(x) = -\frac{v(x)r(x)}{W(x)}$ and $B(x) = \frac{u(x)r(x)}{W(x)}$.

So we can generate the full solution if we know only one solution $u(x)$ to the homogeneous equation.

1.2 Frobenius Method

Consider the 2nd order linear homogeneous ODE, $y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0$. Here we try to find at least one solution $u(x)$ as a power series of $(x - b)$. It is convenient to find the constants A, B when the initial conditions $y(b), y'(b)$ are stated at b .

Let $p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{k-1}(x - b)^{k-1}$ and $q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{k-2}(x - b)^{k-2}$. We are looking for a solution of the form $y = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(x - b)^{k+c}$. We need to find both a_k and c . Any coefficients a_k remain indeterminate will become the constants A, B . Here c can provides powers of $(x - b)$ which are not integer and also help generate both solutions u, v by the same procedure.

We have $y' = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(k+c)(x-b)^{k+c-1}$ and $y'' = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(k+c)(k+c-1)(x-b)^{k+c-2}$. Substituting into the ODE we have,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(k+c)(k+c-1)(x-b)^{k+c-2} + \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p_{i-1}(x-b)^{i-1}\right)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j(j+c)(x-b)^{j+c-1}\right)$$

$$+ \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q_{i-2} (x-b)^{i-2} \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j (x-b)^{j+c} \right) = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (k+c)(k+c-1)(x-b)^{k+c-2} \\ & + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j (j+c) p_{i-1} (x-b)^{i+j+c-2} \\ & + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j q_{i-2} (x-b)^{i+j+c-2} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Letting $i+j=k$ and writing $i=k-j$ we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (k+c)(k+c-1)(x-b)^{k+c-2} \\ & + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^k a_j (j+c) p_{k-j-1} \right) (x-b)^{k+c-2} \\ & + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^k a_j q_{k-j-2} \right) (x-b)^{k+c-2} = 0 \\ & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(a_k (k+c)(k+c-1) + \sum_{j=0}^k a_j ((j+c)p_{k-j-1} + q_{k-j-2}) \right) (x-b)^{k+c-2} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

So we want for all $k \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & = a_k (k+c)(k+c-1) + \sum_{j=0}^k a_j ((j+c)p_{k-j-1} + q_{k-j-2}) \\ & = a_k ((k+c)(k+c-1 + p_{-1}) + q_{-2}) + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_j ((j+c)p_{k-j-1} + q_{k-j-2}) \\ & = a_k ((k+c)^2 + (-1 + p_{-1})(k+c) + q_{-2}) + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_j ((j+c)p_{k-j-1} + q_{k-j-2}) \end{aligned}$$

For $k=0$ we have $a_0(c^2 + (-1 + p_{-1})c + q_{-2}) = 0$.

To keep a_0 as a constant we need c to satisfy the Indicial Equation:

$$c^2 + (-1 + p_{-1})c + q_{-2} = 0. \text{ Let the roots be } \alpha, \beta.$$

Note that the quadratic equation associated with the coefficient of a_k is $(k+c)^2 + (-1 + p_{-1})(k+c) + q_{-2}$ which has roots $\alpha - k$ and $\beta - k$. The two quadratic equations can have a common root, which occurs when $\beta - k = \alpha$ or when $\alpha - k = \beta$. If $\alpha < \beta$ this occurs when $\alpha + k = \beta$. So this happens when the roots of the indicial equation is differ by an integer and when we put $c = \alpha$ which is the smaller root. We can think about 3 cases

Case 1: $\alpha \neq \beta$ and are not differ by an integer

In this case a_k can be expressed by a_0 only.

We can have two independent solutions by substituting $c = \alpha, \beta$.

Case 2: $\alpha \neq \beta$ and are differ by an integer $k = n$.

In this case a_n can be expressed by a_0 only for the larger root $c = \beta$ and we get one solution.

But we cannot express a_n by a_0 for the smaller root $c = \alpha$. So if the equations does not force $a_0 = 0$, then all coefficients are expressible by a_0 and a_n . Which means you end up with two solutions followed by two constants a_0, a_n if the second one is not merely a shift of index of the first one.

But if the equations force $a_0 = 0$ you end up expressing all coefficients by a_n which means you get one solution which can still be a shift of index to the $c = \alpha$ case.

Case 3: $\alpha = \beta$

In this case coefficient of a_k will never be 0. So close to $c = \alpha$ we can force

$a_k((k+c)^2 + (-1+p_{-1})(k+c) + q_{-2}) + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_j((j+c)p_{k-j-1} + q_{k-j-2}) = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$ and all coefficients can be expressible by a_0 and c . We end up with a different differential equation

$y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = a_0(c - \alpha)^2 x^{c-2}$ with $y = y(x, c)$ be a solution.

Note that $y = y(x, \alpha)$ is a solution. We will show that $y_c(x, \alpha)$ is the second independent solution.

In this context $\frac{d}{dx} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ and differentiating both sides partially wrt c and with $y \in \mathcal{C}^3$ we have: $\frac{\partial}{\partial c} \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2} + p(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial c} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} + q(x) \frac{\partial y}{\partial c} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial c} \right) + p(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial c} \right) + q(x) \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial c} \right) = 2a_0(c - \alpha)x^{c-2} + a_0(c - \alpha)^2 x^{c-2} \log x$

Now putting $c = \alpha$ we have: $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial c} \Big|_{c=\alpha} \right) + p(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial c} \Big|_{c=\alpha} \right) + q(x) \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial c} \Big|_{c=\alpha} \right) = 0$.

This means $\frac{\partial y}{\partial c} \Big|_{c=\alpha} = y_c(x, \alpha)$ is the second solution.

1.3 Radius of Convergence

Power series are functions of the form $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(x - b)^k$. They converge Absolutely and Uniformly for $|x - b| < R$ and diverge for $|x - b| > R$ for some $R \geq 0$. R is called the radius of convergence and we can use the Root test to find it: $R = \frac{1}{\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |a_k|^{1/k}}$. Let f, g are power series with radii of convergence R_f, R_g .

$f + g$ is a power series $(f + g)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k(x - b)^k$ where $A_k = a_k + b_k$.

fg is a power series defined as the Cauchy Product $(fg)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k(x - b)^k$ where $A_k = \sum_{j=0}^k a_j b_{k-j}$. Both the sum and product power series converges with a radius of convergence $\min(R_f, R_g)$.

With $p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{k-1}(x - b)^{k-1}$ and $q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{k-2}(x - b)^{k-2}$ note that $(x - b)p(x)$ and $(x - b)^2 q(x)$ have power series and have radius of convergence R_p and R_q respectively. Note that radii of convergence of y, y', y'' are all equal and be R . Since we are looking for solutions to $y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y = 0$ or $y'' = -p(x)y' - q(x)y$ we have: $R = \min(\min(R_p, R), \min(R_q, R))$. $R = \min(R_p, R_q)$ satisfies this equation.

1.4 Legendre Equation

Legendre ODE $(1 - x^2)y'' - 2xy' + n(n + 1)y = 0$

Solution: $y = AP_n(x) + BQ_n(x)$

$P_n(x)$: Legendre functions of the first kind (Legendre polynomials when $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$)

$Q_n(x)$: Legendre functions of the second kind

$P_n(x)$ satisfies the Rodrigue's formula: $P_n(x) = \frac{1}{2^n n!} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} ((x^2 - 1)^n)$

For $f, g \in \mathcal{C}[-1, 1]$ with the Inner Product $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 f(x)g(x)dx$, Legendre polynomials satisfies the Orthogonality Condition: $\int_{-1}^1 P_m(x)P_n(x)dx = 0$ if $m \neq n$ and $\frac{2}{2n+1}$ if $m = n$.

This allows us to write the Legendre Series: $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k P_k(x)$ where $a_k = \frac{2k+1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 f(x)P_k(x)dx$.

1.5 Associated Legendre Equation

Associated Legendre ODE $(1 - x^2)y'' - 2xy' + \left(n(n + 1) - \frac{m^2}{1 - x^2}\right)y = 0$

Solution: $y = AP_n^m(x) + BQ_n^m(x)$

$P_n^m(x) = (1 - x^2)^{m/2} \frac{d^m}{dx^m} P_n(x)$: Associated Legendre functions of the first kind (Associated Legendre polynomials when $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$)

$Q_n(x) = (1 - x^2)^{m/2} \frac{d^m}{dx^m} Q_n(x)$: Associated Legendre functions of the second kind. Also see the Mathematica file: Legendre.nb

1.6 Gamma Function and Pochhammer Symbol

Gamma Function: $\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt, x > 0$.

Gamma function satisfies use the formula $\Gamma(x + 1) = x\Gamma(x)$ which implies $n! = \Gamma(n + 1)$ for a none negative integer n .

We define the Gamma function for $x < 0$ by $\Gamma(x) = \frac{\Gamma(x+1)}{x}$.

Since $\Gamma(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow 0^+$ we have $|\Gamma(x)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow -n$ where $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Pochhammer symbol: $(\alpha)_k = \alpha(\alpha + 1) \cdots (\alpha + k - 1)$. Note that $(1)_n = n!$ for none negative integer n . We can show that $(\alpha)_k = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+k)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$.

1.7 Bessel Equation

Bessel ODE $x^2 y'' + xy' + (x^2 - \nu^2)y = 0$

Solution: $y = AJ_\nu(x) + BY_\nu(x)$

$J_\nu(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k! \Gamma(k+1+\nu)} \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2k+\nu}$: Bessel Function of the First Kind

If $\nu \notin \mathbb{Z}$ the solution is $y = AJ_\nu(x) + BJ_{-\nu}(x)$.

When $\nu = n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $J_n(x) = (-1)^n J_{-n}(x)$, so $J_{-n}(x)$ is not the second independent solution. Note that $\cos n\pi = (-1)^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Motivated by this we define a second solution for $\nu \notin \mathbb{Z}$:

$Y_\nu(x) = \frac{J_\nu(x) \cos(\pi\nu) - J_{-\nu}(x)}{\sin(\pi\nu)}, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}$: Bessel Function of the second Kind

When $\nu = n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we use the L'Hopital Rule and define:

$Y_n(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} J_\nu(x) \Big|_{\nu=n} - (-1)^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} J_{-\nu}(x) \Big|_{\nu=n} \right)$.

Let $x = \lambda_k, k \geq 0$ be roots of $J_\nu(ax) = 0$. For $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^1[0, a]$ with the Inner Product $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^a x f(x) g(x) dx$, Bessel Functions satisfies the Orthogonality Condition: $\int_0^a x J_\nu(\lambda_m x) J_\nu(\lambda_n x) dx = 0$ if $m \neq n$ and $\frac{a^2}{2} (J'_\nu(\lambda_n a))^2$ when $m = n$.

This allows us to write the Bessel Series: $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k J_\nu(\lambda_k x)$ where $a_k = \frac{2}{a^2 (J'_\nu(\lambda_k a))^2} \int_0^a x f(x) J_\nu(\lambda_k x) dx$.

Also see the Mathematica file: Bessel.nb

Definition 1. L^p spaces and norms

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, 1 \leq p < \infty, L^p(\mathbb{R}) = \{f : \|f\|_p < \infty\}$$

$$\|f\|_{\infty} = \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}\}, L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) = \{f : \|f\|_{\infty} < \infty\}$$

Definition 2. Schwartz spaces and norms

$$\|f\|_{m,n} = \sup\{|x^m f^n(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

$$\mathcal{S} = \{f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty} : \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \|f\|_{m,n} < \infty\}$$

Note that if $f \in \mathcal{S}$ then $x^m f^n(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$

Theorem 1.

$$L^2 \subset L^1 \subset L^{\infty}$$

$$\mathcal{S} \subset L^p, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$$

Definition 3.

$$\text{Fourier Transform of } f: \mathcal{F}(f)(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)e^{-i\omega t} dt$$

$$\text{Inverse Fourier Transform of } F: \mathcal{F}^{-1}(F)(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\omega)e^{-i\omega t} d\omega$$

Theorem 2.

If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}(f)(\omega)$ exists, continuous and $\rightarrow 0$ as $\omega \rightarrow \pm\infty$

If $f \in \mathcal{S}$ then $\mathcal{D}(f) = f' \in \mathcal{S}$

If $f \in \mathcal{S}$ then $\mathcal{F}(f) \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathcal{F}(f) = f$ so $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is a bijection

If $F \in \mathcal{S}$ then $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(F) \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}^{-1}(F) = F$ so $\mathcal{F}^{-1} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is a bijection

Definition 4.

Inner Product, $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)\overline{g(x)}dx$. So $\|f\|_2 = \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle}$

$(f, g) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)g(x)dx$. So $(f, g) = \langle f, \bar{g} \rangle$

Convolution, $(f * g)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)g(t-x)dx$

Theorem 3. $f, g \in \mathcal{S}$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(x)g(x)dx = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)g'(x)dx, \text{ or } (f', g) = -(f, g')$$

$$(\mathcal{I}f, g) = (\mathcal{F}f)(0)(\mathcal{F}g)(0) - (f, \mathcal{I}g), (\mathcal{I}f)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f(t)dt$$

$$\mathcal{F}(e^{iat}f(t))(\omega) = i\omega\mathcal{F}(f)(\omega - a)$$

$$\mathcal{F}(f')(\omega) = i\omega\mathcal{F}(f)(\omega)$$

$$\mathcal{F}(f'')(\omega) = -\omega^2\mathcal{F}(f)(\omega)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}f(x)g(x)dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)\mathcal{F}g(x)dx, \text{ or } (\mathcal{F}f, g) = (f, \mathcal{F}g)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}^{-1}f(x)g(x)dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)\mathcal{F}^{-1}g(x)dx, \text{ or } (\mathcal{F}^{-1}f, g) = (f, \mathcal{F}^{-1}g)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathcal{F}f(x)|^2 dx \text{ or } \|f\|_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \|\mathcal{F}f\|_2$$

Note 1. We are going to take $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ in \mathcal{S} and going to convert it into a function $f : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $f(g) = (f, g) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)g(x)dx$ for $g \in \mathcal{S}$. We note that

1. f is continuous.

Equivalently if $g_n \rightarrow g$ in \mathcal{S} then $f(g_n) \rightarrow f(g)$ i.e. $(f, g_n) \rightarrow (f, g)$

2. f is linear.

Equivalently if $g, h \in \mathcal{S}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ then $f(ag + bh) = af(g) + bf(h)$ i.e. $(f, ag + bh) = a(f, g) + b(f, h)$

Now consider the four operators defined for $f \in \mathcal{S}$:

Differential operator $\mathcal{D} : (\mathcal{D}f)(x) = f'(x)$

Fourier Transform \mathcal{F} :

Inverse Fourier Transform \mathcal{F}^{-1} :

Integral operator $\mathcal{I} : (\mathcal{I}f)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f(t)dt$

It can be shown that all the above operations except the last one land on \mathcal{S} . In other words if $f \in \mathcal{S}$ then $\mathcal{D}f, \mathcal{F}f, \mathcal{F}^{-1}f \in \mathcal{S}$.

We also have noted that all the following are true

$$3. (\mathcal{D}f)(g) = -f(\mathcal{D}g) \text{ i.e. } (\mathcal{D}f, g) = -(f, \mathcal{D}g)$$

$$4. (\mathcal{F}f)(g) = f(\mathcal{F}g) \text{ i.e. } (\mathcal{F}f, g) = (f, \mathcal{F}g)$$

$$5. (\mathcal{F}^{-1}f)(g) = f(\mathcal{F}^{-1}g) \text{ i.e. } (\mathcal{F}^{-1}f, g) = (f, \mathcal{F}^{-1}g)$$

$$6. (\mathcal{I}f)(g) = (\mathcal{F}f)(0)(\mathcal{F}g)(0) - f(\mathcal{I}g) \text{ i.e. } (\mathcal{I}f, g) = (\mathcal{F}f)(0)(\mathcal{F}g)(0) - (f, \mathcal{I}g)$$

Now we are going to extend the class of functions \mathcal{S} to include "functions" which are not cooked out of $f \in \mathcal{S}$ as in the above process.

Definition 5. a Tempered Distribution $f \in \mathcal{T}$ is a function $f : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Satisfying all the above properties 1 and 2: Continuous and Linear.

We see from the above discussion that $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{T}$.

For $g \in \mathcal{S}$, we will still write $f(g) = (f, g)$ as necessary although there is no (f, g) realized as an integral

We can use the formulas 3 through 6 to define the operations $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}^{-1}, \mathcal{I}$ on \mathcal{T} .

It can be also shown now that all the 4 operations land on \mathcal{T} . In other words if $f \in \mathcal{T}$ then $\mathcal{D}f, \mathcal{F}f, \mathcal{F}^{-1}f, \mathcal{I}f \in \mathcal{T}$ so $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}^{-1}, \mathcal{I} : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$.

Definition 6.

Delta function $\delta \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\delta(f) = (\delta, f) = f(0)$

Heaviside function $H(t) = 1$ if $t \geq 0$ and 0 otherwise

Rectangle Function $\Pi(t) = 1$ if $-\frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and 0 otherwise.

Sinc Function $\text{sinc} x = \frac{\sin x}{x}$

Theorem 4.

$$\mathcal{F}\delta = 1$$

$$\mathcal{F}1 = 2\pi\delta$$

$$\mathcal{D}H = \delta$$

$$\mathcal{I}\delta = H$$

$$\mathcal{F}(e^{iat})(\omega) = 2\pi\delta(\omega - a)$$

$$\mathcal{F}(\sin at)(\omega) = \frac{\pi}{i} (\delta(\omega - a) - \delta(\omega + a))$$

$$\mathcal{F}(\cos at)(\omega) = \pi (\delta(\omega - a) + \delta(\omega + a))$$

$$\mathcal{F}(\Pi)(\omega) = \text{sinc}(\omega/2)$$

$$\mathcal{F}(f * g)(\omega) = \mathcal{F}(f)(\omega)\mathcal{F}(g)(\omega)$$

Example 1. Use Fourier Transform to Solve the Laplace's equation $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0$.
 $u(x, 0) = f(x)$, $u(x, y)$ bounded as $y \rightarrow \infty$.

Compute the answer for $f(x) = x$ for $0 < x < 1$ and 0 otherwise.

Do the same question with the given boundary condition replaced by $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x, 0) = f(x)$